

AI Curricular Innovation “Spark” Grants

Proposal Evaluation Rubric

Scoring Scale	
3 - Exceptional	use sparingly; reserve for clearly standout proposals
2 - Strong	meets expectations well
1 - Developing	needs improvement
0 - Not included	

Criterion	Weight	3 - Exceptional	2 - Strong	1 - Developing	0 - Not included
Learning Goals & AI Integration	25%	Measurable AI outcomes; discipline-relevant; high-impact.	Clear AI goals; relevant; appropriate scope.	Vague or generic goals; limited relevance.	Not addressed in proposal
Implementation Plan & Feasibility	25%	Detailed steps, timeline, tools; inclusive design; effective teaching strategies, feasible.	Clear steps and tools; acceptable teaching strategies, generally feasible.	Sparse steps; unclear tools; teaching strategies unclear, feasibility concerns.	Not addressed in proposal

Student Impact & Engagement	25%	Deep engagement; broad reach; culturally responsive.	Meaningful engagement; good reach; inclusive activities.	Minimal engagement; unclear reach; limited inclusion.	Not addressed in proposal
Evaluation & Assessment	15%	Data plan; clear metrics; formative + summative.	Appropriate measures; feasible data collection.	Minimal or vague measures.	Not addressed in proposal.
Sustainability & Future Impact	10%	Concrete plan to continue/scale; plausible resources.	Some path to continue; potential to grow.	No clear plan beyond grant.	Not addressed in proposal.