

AI Curricular Innovation ‘Spark’ Grants

Proposal Evaluation Rubric (2026-2027 Academic Year)

Criterion	Weight	3 – Exemplary	2 – Satisfactory	1 – Developing	0 – Not Addressed
Student Learning & AI Integration	25%	Clearly aligns responsible AI use with course learning outcomes and demonstrates strong educational value.	Aligns responsible AI use with course learning outcomes but could better explain the educational value.	Limited alignment between AI use and learning outcomes.	Does not demonstrate meaningful alignment with student learning.
Implementation & Feasibility	25%	Presents a clear, realistic implementation plan with a well-defined timeline.	Presents a reasonable implementation plan and timeline with minor gaps or questions.	Implementation plan or timeline lacks sufficient detail or raises feasibility concerns.	Implementation plan is missing or not feasible.

Student Impact	25%	Demonstrates meaningful student impact with a clear explanation of anticipated benefits and expected student reach.	Describes student benefits and projected reach, though additional detail or justification would strengthen the proposal.	Provides limited explanation of student impact or projected reach.	Student impact and projected reach are not adequately addressed.
Evaluation & Assessment	15%	Clear plan to evaluate project effectiveness and student outcomes.	Evaluation plan is appropriate but could be more detailed.	Evaluation plan is limited or unclear.	No feasible evaluation plan is provided.
Sustainability	10%	Clearly explains how the project will be sustained or shared.	Identifies opportunities for sustainability or sharing.	Limited consideration of future impact.	Sustainability is not addressed sufficiently.